IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

LEWIS POTTER,

Case No. 6:10-cv-1527-SI

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN,

Acting Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant.

Michael H. Simon, District Judge.

On June 23, 2014, the Court reversed the Commissioner's determination that Plaintiff was not disabled and remanded the matter back to the agency for further proceedings consistent with the Opinion issued by the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Dkt. 26. Before the Court is Plaintiff's application for attorney's fees pursuant to the Equal Access to Justice Act ("EAJA"), 28 U.S.C. § 2412. Dkt. 28.

The EAJA authorizes the payment of attorney's fees to a prevailing party in an action against the United States, unless the government shows that its position in the underlying litigation "was substantially justified." 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d)(1)(A). Although the EAJA creates a presumption that fees will be awarded to a prevailing party, Congress did not intend fee shifting to be mandatory. *Flores v. Shalala*, 49 F.3d 562, 567 (9th Cir. 1995). The decision to deny EAJA attorney's fees is within the discretion of the court. *Id.*; *Lewis v. Barnhart*, 281 F.3d 1081, 1083

Case 6:10-cv-01527-SI Document 31 Filed 08/25/14 Page 2 of 2

(9th Cir. 2002). A social security claimant is the "prevailing party" following a sentence-four

remand pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 405(g) either for further administrative proceedings or for the

payment of benefits. Flores, 49 F.3d at 567-68 (citing Shalala v. Schaefer, 509 U.S. 292, 300

(1993)). Fee awards under the EAJA are paid to the litigant, and not the litigant's attorney,

unless the litigant has assigned his or her rights to counsel to receive the fee award. Astrue v.

Ratliff, 560 U.S. 586, 596-98 (2010).

Plaintiff seeks an award of attorney's fees in the amount of \$12,000. This is a negotiated

settlement figure and Defendant stipulates to this fee award. Dkt. 29. The Court has reviewed

Plaintiff's motion and agrees with the parties that the EAJA petition is proper and the amount

requested is reasonable.

Therefore, Plaintiff's application for attorney's fees (Dkt. 28) is GRANTED. Plaintiff is

awarded \$12,000 for attorney's fees under 28 U.S.C. § 2412. EAJA fees are subject to any

offsets allowed under the Treasury Offset Program, as discussed in Ratliff, 560 U.S. at 593-94.

Because Plaintiff's counsel has filed a sworn statement with the Court stating that Plaintiff

executed an assignment of EAJA fees to his counsel, if Plaintiff has no debt subject to the

Treasury Offset Program, then Defendant shall cause the check to be made payable to Plaintiff's

attorney and mailed to Plaintiff's attorney. If Plaintiff owes a debt subject to the Treasury Offset

Program, then the check for any remaining funds after offset of the debt shall be payable to

Plaintiff and mailed to Plaintiff's attorney.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 25th day of August, 2014.

/s/ Michael H. Simon

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge

PAGE 2 –ORDER